COMMISSION ON

BEFORE THE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT FILED OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON OCT 1 2 1992

In Re the Matter of No. 91-1137-F-34 Honorable John P. Junke ANSWER TO STATEMENT Walla Walla District Court OF CHARGES

COMES NOW, the Honorable John P. Junke, by and through his attorney, Kurt M. Bulmer, and Answers the Statement of Charges filed September 21, 1992, against him by the Commission on Judicial Conduct, as follows:

## ADMISSIONS, DENIALS AND OBJECTIONS

- 1. Judge Junke generally denies all allegations that he has acted in violation of any Canons of the Code of Judicial Conduct.
- a. The Statement of Charges incorporates legal conclusions as well as factual allegations in the "Facts Supporting Charges." All such legal conclusions are improperly made in the Statement of Charges and Judge Junke expressly reserves his right to have any such legal conclusions made by the appropriate tribunal.
- b. The allegations do not provide sufficient facts and detail to permit Judge Junke to fairly defend himself.

ANSWER TO STATEMENT OF CHARGES PAGE 1

26

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

For example, Paragraph 2, (b) asserts Judge Junke met with defendants in jail but provides no dates or times or even what cases are involved when multiple case numbers are cited. Paragraph 2, (c) asserts that Judge Junke communicated ex parte with "the judge pro tem, defense counsel, and/or prosecuting attorney" and then lists cases. There is no identification of who, what or when. In many of the "Facts Supporting Charges" Judge Junke must speculate as to the Commission's allegations. Judge Junke is entitled to full and detailed disclosure of each and every fact which the Commission intends to demonstrate at hearing.

- c. Judge Junke has the right to require the State to prove the allegations against him. By generally denying these allegations Judge Junke puts the State to its proof as to each and every factual allegation made in the Statement of Charges as well as to all those which may be made and which are required to be shown in order to show violations of the Code of Judicial Conduct.
- d. Judge Junke puts the State to its proof under the clear, cogent and convincing standard adopted by the Commission under WAC 292-12-110 (4).
- e. Judge Junke puts the State to demonstrating each and every factual and legal element of each of the Code of Judicial Conduct sections he is charged with having

ANSWER TO STATEMENT OF CHARGES PAGE 2

violated.

- Statement of Charges Paragraph 1 Background Admitted.
- 3. Statement of Charges Paragraph 2, parts (a) through(d):
  - a. Paragraph 2 and its sub-parts are generally denied.
- b. It is specifically denied that Judge Junke improperly initiated or considered ex parte communications and it is further denied that any such communications have occurred.
- c. Judge Junke objects to the use of the language of this paragraph which says "initiating and considering ... other communications" since it is impossible to determine what is meant by this term or what is being charged and asks for a specific statement as to what is meant by this language.
- d. Judge Junke objects to the use of open ended pleadings by the use of the phrase "including without limitation the following" and reserves the right to further answer as to any other matters which may be alleged or attempted to be proven and asserts his right to full disclosure and notice as to all factual matters which will be asserted against him.
  - 4. Statement of Charges Paragraph 3
    - a. Paragraph 3 is generally denied.

ANSWER TO STATEMENT OF CHARGES PAGE 3

b. Judge Junke specifically denies that he improperly took or considered evidence obtained outside the trial, ex parte, without the consent of the parties.

- c. Judge Junke objects to the use of open ended pleadings by the use of the phrase "including without limitation the following cases" and reserves the right to further answer as to any other matters which may be alleged or attempted to be proven and asserts his right to full disclosure and notice as to all factual matters which will be asserted against him.
  - 5. Statement of Charges Paragraph 4
    - a. Paragraph 4 is generally denied.
- b. Judge Junke specifically denies that he failed to maintain proper impartiality.
- c. Judge Junke specifically denies that he improperly inserted himself into any proceedings.
- d. Judge Junke objects to the use of open ended pleadings by the use of the phrase "including without limitation the following" and reserves the right to further answer as to any other matters which may be alleged or attempted to be proven and asserts his right to full disclosure and notice as to all factual matters which will be asserted against him.
  - 6. Statement of Charges Paragraph 5 -

- a. Paragraph 5 is generally denied.
- b. Judge Junke specifically denies that he improperly exercised the power of his office.
- c. Judge Junke specifically denies that he permitted personal bias to affect his impartiality.
- d. Judge Junke objects to the use of open ended pleadings by the use of the phrase "including without limitation" and reserves the right to further answer as to any other matters which may be alleged or attempted to be proven and asserts his right to full disclosure and notice as to all factual matters which will be asserted against him.
  - 7. Statement of Charges Paragraph 6
    - a. Paragraph 6 is generally denied.
- b. Judge Junke specifically denies that he misused the administrative powers of his office.
- c. Judge Junke specifically denies that he improperly treated court personnel.
- d. Judge Junke specifically denies that he improperly limited access to court records.
- d. Judge Junke objects to the use of open ended pleadings by the use of the phrase "including without limitation" and reserves the right to further answer as to any other matters which may be alleged or attempted to be proven and asserts his right to full

ANSWER TO STATEMENT OF CHARGES PAGE 6

disclosure and notice as to all factual matters which will be asserted against him.

- 8. Statement of Charges Paragraph 7
  - a. Paragraph 7 is generally denied.
- b. Judge Junke specifically denies that he sought out opportunities for news coverage beyond the duties of his office.
- c. Judge Junke objects to the use of open ended pleadings by the use of the phrase "including without limitation" and reserves the right to further answer as to any other matters which may be alleged or attempted to be proven and asserts his right to full disclosure and notice as to all factual matters which will be asserted against him.
  - 9. Statement of Charges Paragraph 8 -
- a. The first paragraph of Paragraph 8 on the history of the proceeding is admitted except that it is denied that the Commission did not receive an answer pursuant to the extension. It is admitted that the answer was not sent at the time set by the extension but an extensive answer was provided to the Commission.
- b. The second paragraph of Paragraph 8 is denied. This paragraph asserts that probable cause exists for the believing that Judge Junke violated the asserted Canons.

Judge Junke denies that such probable cause exists.

10. Statement of Charges - Paragraph 9 - Judge Junke acknowledges this paragraph. As a citation of procedural steps the matters therein are not subject to admission or denial.

## JURISDICTION AND OTHER DEFENSES

By way of further response Judge Junke asserts:

- 11. As to those matters asserted in the Statement of Charges but which were not identified in the Verified Statement and letter about the Initial Proceedings, the Commission is proceeding without authority and beyond the scope of its powers.
- 12. As to all matters that involve personnel matters between the Judge Junke and his staff that are related to the employer/employee relationship, these are outside the scope of the Commission's authority for sanctioning.
- 13. As to all factual allegations, Judge Junke has not been provided with sufficient details prior to the filing of the Statement of Charges nor as part of the Statement of Charges to respond to the allegations. Until such time as sufficient detail is provided Judge Junke reserves his right to amend his answer and to raise additional defenses. Such defenses include but are not limited to freedom of speech, freedom of assembly, freedom to express political opinion, the supremacy clause, due process, equal protection, vagueness, lack of jurisdiction, laches, pre-

ANSWER TO STATEMENT OF CHARGES PAGE 7

5

emption, double jeopardy, collateral estoppel, res judicata, separation of powers and judicial independence.

14. As to all matters involving legal determinations falling within the discretion of the court or which were carried out under the statutory authority of the court or within its rule making authority or under contractual authority, the Commission is without authority to review such matters, to conduct proceedings involving such matters, to force Judge Junke to defend his actions on such matters or to impose its judgement on such matters. The Commission is without authority to sanction on such matters.

Junke asserts that these charges stem from persons who are threatened that Judge Junke, pursuant to his administrative and judicial responsibilities, is insisting upon bringing change to the Walla Walla District and Municipal Courts. The matters identified in this Statement of Charges almost all stem from Judge Junke's attempts to bring a more orderly process to the District and Municipal Courts system or from Judge Junke changing long stand patterns which had developed under prior District Court judges. The process of change often brings dislocation of "protected turf" and may represent a challenge to individuals who have come to consider some decision areas to be exclusively theirs. Judge Junke will defend this Statement of

ANSWER TO STATEMENT OF CHARGES PAGE 8

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Charges, in part, on the basis that many of the allegations made against him do not met the probable cause requirements of the State Constitution once the historical procedures and processes of the Walla Walla District and Municipal Courts and the necessary processes which must be gone through in order to bring about change are considered.

## REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL

16. Judge Junke denies that he has acted improperly. He asks that a hearing be held within 42 days pursuant to the regulations of the Commission. He asks that all allegations against him be dismissed.

DATED this  $\frac{90}{10}$  day of October, 1992.

Attorney for Judge Junke

WSBA # 5559

201 Westlake Ave. N. Seattle, WA 98109

(206) 343-5700

ANSWER TO STATEMENT OF CHARGES PAGE 9